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## 1 General information

- The function SVO_Slider.m evaluates data gained by using the SVO Slider Measure (Murphy et al., 2011).
- In order to use the function, the program Matlab has to be installed on your computer and basic knowledge about Matlab is required.
- In order to check for transitivity and produce rank orders of preferences, the Bioinformatics Toolbox has to be installed. If this toolbox is not installed, the function works as well, but will not check for transitivity and will not produce rank orders of preferences (see section 3.1.4).


## 2 Input arguments

The function SVO_Slider.m accepts several input argument constellations:

### 2.1 Data only

This input format is appropriate if raw data was gained by the Online Slider Measure or if only version A of the paper based Slider Measure was used.

For example:

- output $=$ SVO_Slider(Data), or
- [output, ips] = SVO_Slider(Data)

IMPORTANT: If only data is used as input argument, the function evaluates the data according to the item order of version $\mathbf{A}$ of the paper based version of the Slider Measure (see paper based versions of the Slider Measure)

The function accepts several forms of the variable Data:

### 2.1.1 Option variant

Data in option variant contains the number of the option (1-9) that was chosen in a respective item (columns) by the subjects (rows). For example, if the first subject chose the third option in item 1 (according to version A), which is $(85,68)$, the entry in the first row (subject 1 ) and first column (Item $1)$ would be 3 (3rd option).

Examples of input variable Data in option variant ${ }^{1}$ :

Figure 1: All items: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary and secondary items) of 5 subjects in option variant is shown.

```
Data = [ 
];
```

Figure 2: Primary items: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary items) of 5 subjects in option variant is shown.

| Data $=[$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 9 |
| ]; |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3: Secondary items: In this example, Slider Measure data (secondary items) of 5 subjects in option variant is shown.

| Data $=[$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 9 |
| 9 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| ]; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
### 2.1.2 Fine-grained option variant

If desired, decimals are allowed in option variant for the case were subjects made fine-grained choices, i.e. marking intermediate positions between options. For example, if a subject marked a position right between option 1 $(85,85)$ and option $2(85,76)$ in item 1 (according to version $\mathbf{A}$ ), the entry would be 1.5.

Example of input variable Data in fine-grained option variant:

Figure 4: Primary items: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary items) of 5 subjects in fine-grained option variant is shown.

```
Data = [
    1.4 8.1 7.6 1.5 1.7 1.7 8.3
    llllll
    2.9 9 9 0
    llllll
```

];

### 2.1.3 Full payoff variant

Data in full payoff variant contains the payoffs a subject allocated to the self and to the other in one row per subject. For example, if the first subject chose the third option in item 1 (according to version $\mathbf{A}$ ), which is $(85,68)$, the entry in the first row (subject 1) would be 85 in the first column and 68 in the second column, and so forth.

Examples of input variable Data in full payoff variant ${ }^{2}$ :

Figure 5: All items: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary and secondary items) of 5 subjects in full payoff variant is shown.

```
Data = [
```



```
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Figure 6: Primary items: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary items) of 5 subjects in full payoff variant is shown.

```
Data = [
85
85
85
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100}5050100 50 85 15 85 85 85 15 15 85 15 
]
```

[^1]Figure 7: Secondary items: In this example, Slider Measure data (secondary items) of 5 subjects in full payoff variant is shown.

```
Data = [
70 100 100 90 100 70 90 100 100 70 100 90 100 50 100 90 90 100
91 94 93 903 75 7.75 94 91 85 85 85 93 93 93 81 
81
```
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]
```


### 2.1.4 Own payoff variant

Data in own payoff variant contains only the payoffs a subject allocated to the self in one row per subject.

IMPORTANT: For the item with undefined slope, that is the item with endpoints $[(85,85)(85,15)]$ (which is item 1 in version $\mathbf{A}$ and item 6 in version B of the paper based Slider Measure), the payoff allocated to the other has to be entered for that item. This has to be done because the payoff to the self is 85 in all options of that item, and therefore the payoff allocated to the other in that item cannot be determined by the payoff to the self.

For example, if the first subject chose the third option in item 1 (according to version A), which is $(85,68)$ and the second option in item 2 , which is $(87,19)$, the entry in the first row (subject 1 ) would be $68^{3}$ in the first column and 87 in the second column.

Examples of input variable Data in own payoff variant ${ }^{4}$ :

[^2]Figure 8: All items: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary and secondary items) of 5 subjects in own payoff variant is shown.

```
Data = [
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
85 & 100 & 85 & 50 & 100 & 85 & 70 & 100 & 100 & 90 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
85 & 75 & 63 & 85 & 100 & 85 & 91 & 93 & 75 & 94 & 85 & 93 & 81 & 95 \\
85 & 100 & 85 & 85 & 100 & 100 & 81 & 95 & 81 & 93 & 85 & 94 & 75 & 93 \\
100 & 100 & 85 & 85 & 100 & 85 & 90 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 90 & 100 & 100 \\
100 & 100 & 85 & 85 & 85 & 15 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline\(;\) & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Figure 9: Primary items: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary items) of 5 subjects in own payoff variant is shown.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Data $=[$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 100 | 85 | 50 | 100 | 85 |
| 85 | 75 | 63 | 85 | 100 | 85 |
| 85 | 100 | 85 | 85 | 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 | 85 | 85 | 100 | 85 |
| 100 | 100 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 15 |
| ]; |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 10: Secondary items: In this example, Slider Measure data (secondary items) of 5 subjects in own payoff variant is shown.

| Data $=$ [ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90 |
| 91 | 93 | 75 | 94 | 85 | 93 | 81 | 95 | 81 |
| 81 | 95 | 81 | 93 | 85 | 94 | 75 | 93 | 91 |
| 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 70 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| ]; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2 Version and Data

If both versions A and B of the paper based Slider Measure were used, an additional input argument is required for indexing which subject completed which version of the Slider Measure.

For example:

- output = SVO_Slider(Versions, Data), or
- [output, ips] = SVO_Slider(Versions, Data)

The variable Versions is just a column vector (row vector is possible as well), which contains a 1 for each subject who completed version $A$, and a 2 for each subject who completed version B of the paper based Slider Measure. The variable Data can be of any format discussed in section 2.1.

IMPORTANT: The variable Versions has to be inserted as the first input argument.

Example:

Figure 11: Versions and Data: In this example, Slider Measure data (primary items) of 5 subjects in option variant is shown together with a column vector indicating which versions $(1=\mathrm{A}, 2=\mathrm{B})$ were completed by the subjects.

| Versions $=[$ | Data $=[$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 9 |

### 2.3 Missing values

IMPORTANT: Missing values have to be represented as NaNs in any of the input variants discussed in section 2.1.

Example:

Figure 12: Versions and Data: Indicate missing values as NaNs.

| Versions $=[$ | Data $=[$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | NaN | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN | NaN |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | NaN | 9 | 1 | 9 |
| ]; | ; |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3 Output arguments

There are two output arguments which can be called from the SVO_Slider.m function. The first output argument contains the results from the Slider Measure evaluation and the second -optional- output argument contains the raw data in IPS format.

### 3.1 One output argument: Slider Measure results

If only one (or no) output argument is called, this will yield a variable containing the results from the Slider Measure evaluation.

For example:

- output $=$ SVO_Slider(Data), or
- output = SVO_Slider(Versions, Data)

Depending on whether all items, only the primary items, or only the secondary items were inserted as input argument, the output variable contains 14 , or 7 columns respectively.

### 3.1.1 Input is all items (primary \& secondary items)

The output contains 14 columns which are summarized in table 1.

### 3.1.2 Input is primary items only

The output contains 7 columns which are columns 1 to 7 as summarized in table 1.
Table 1: Summary of output colums

| Column | Variable | Key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SVO Angle | - |
| 2 | SVO Category | $1=$ Altruistic, $2=$ Prosocial, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Competitive |
| 3 | Transitivity | $0=$ Intransitive, $1=$ Transitive |
| 4 | Most preferred SVO | $1=$ Altruistic, $2=$ Prosocial, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Competitive |
| 5 | 2nd preferred SVO | $1=$ Altruistic, $2=$ Prosocial, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Competitive |
| 6 | 3rd preferred SVO | $1=$ Altruistic, $2=$ Prosocial, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Competitive |
| 7 | Least preferred SVO | $1=$ Altruistic, $2=$ Prosocial, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Competitive |
| 8 | Prosocial Type | $1=$ Inequality averse, $2=$ Joint gain maximizing, $0.5=$ indifferent |
| 9 | $\Delta$ inequality aversion | $0=$ perfect inequality aversion $-1=$ perfect joint gain maximizing |
| 10 | $\Delta$ joint gain maximizing | $0=$ perfect joint gain maximizing $-1=$ perfect inequality aversion |
| 11 | Most preferred SVO | $1=$ Inequality aversion, $2=$ Joint gain maximization, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Altruistic |
| 12 | 2nd preferred SVO | $1=$ Inequality aversion, $2=$ Joint gain maximization, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Altruistic |
| 13 | 3rd preferred SVO | $1=$ Inequality aversion, $2=$ Joint gain maximization, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Altruistic |
| 14 | Least preferred SVO | $1=$ Inequality aversion, $2=$ Joint gain maximization, $3=$ Individualistic, $4=$ Altruistic |

### 3.1.3 Input is secondary items only

The output contains 7 columns which are columns 8 to 14 as summarized in table 1.

### 3.1.4 NaNs in the output

If the output contains NaNs , this can have several reasons depending on the column containing the $\mathbf{N a N}$. In general, evaluating Slider Measure data for any given subject requires that there is no single missing value in that subject's data. If there is at least one missing value in the primary items of a subject, the first 7 columns of the output will be NaNs for that subject. Likewise, if there is at least one missing value in the secondary items of a subject, columns $8-14$ of the output will be NaNs for that subject. The reason for this rigorousness is that the results of the Slider Measure evaluation strongly depend on the values of every single item. However, missing values in the primary items do not affect the evaluation of the secondary items, and vice versa.
For the following columns (according to table 1 ), NaNs in the output can also have further causes in addition to missing values in the input:

- Columns 3-7:

If the Bioinformatics Toolbox is not installed on your computer, a check for transitivity and the rank ordering of preferences can not be performed and therefore columns 3-7 will contain NaNs for all subjects.

- Columns 4-7 (Rank order of preferences):

If a subject's choice pattern is intransitive (i.e. if column 3 shows a value of 0 ), the rank order of preferences will not be calculated for that subject, yielding NaNs in columns 4-7.

- Columns 8-10:

If a subject does not prefer both inequality aversion and joint gain maximization over both individualism and altruism in the secondary items (i.e. column $11=1$ AND column $12=2$, or column $11=2$ AND column $12=1$ ), the following variables are not evaluated and will therefore all contain NaNs for that subject:

- Prosocial Type (column 8)
- Normalized mean distance from perfect inequality aversion (column 9)
- Normalized mean distance from perfect joint gain maximization (column 10)


### 3.2 Two output arguments

If two output arguments are called, this will yield one variable containing the results from the Slider Measure evaluation as discussed in section 3.1, and one variable containing the raw data in IPS format.

For example:

- [output, ips] = SVO_Slider(Data), or
- [output, ips] $=$ SVO_Slider(Versions, Data)


### 3.2.1 The IPS format

The abbreviation IPS stands for Items - Payoffs - Subjecs. This second output argument yields a 3 -dimensional matrix (IxPxS), where the rows indicate the items, the columns indicate the payoffs chosen for the self (column 1) and the payoffs chosen for the other (column 2), and the third dimension indicates the subjects. For example, entering $\operatorname{ips}(1,2,3)$ would yield the payoff that the 3rd subject has chosen for the other (2nd column) in the first item (1st column). Depending on whether the input was all items, primary items only, or secondary items only, the ips output will consist of 15,6 , or 9 rows, respectively. This output format facilitates a quick look at the payoffs that were actually chosen for the self and for the other by a certain subject in a certain item.
IMPORTANT: The item order of the output variable in IPS format is made conform with the item order of version $\mathbf{A}$ of the paper based Slider Measure for all subjects.

## 4 Using raw data from online version as input

Since the online version of the Slider Measure has a higher resolution than the paper based version, the outputs these two versions produce may differ slightly in some rare instances. It is important to note, that differences can only occur with respect to the results as derived from the secondary items, and are due to rounding error only. That is, due to rounding errors, subjects' rank orders of secondary item preferences (Inequality aversion, Joint gain maximization, Altruism, and Individualism / Competitiveness) in the output of the online version may differ from those in the output of the paper
based version in some rare corner cases ${ }^{5}$.
However, the Matlab function SVO_Slider.m was programmed so that it can produce an output that is $100 \%$ consistent with the output of the online version by eliminating differences in secondary preferences rank orders that are due to rounding error. In order to produce this output -which is recommended if raw data as produced by the online version is inserted into the Matlab function- the following procedure has to be applied:

1. Use full payoff variant (see section 2.1.3) as INPUT
2. If all data is consistent with the item order of version A of the paper based version, apply: $[$ output, ips $]=$ SVO_Slider (INPUT,' online' $)$
3. If data is mixed versions, apply: $[$ output, ips $]=$ SVO_Slider (VERSION, INPUT,' online $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)$
[^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In these examples, data of both versions A and B of the paper based Slider Measure are shown. If input is data only, then the item order of the input variable has to be conform with the item order of version A of the paper based Slider Measure. The examples here should only give an impression of the general form of the input variable Data.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In these examples, data of both versions A and B of the paper based Slider Measure are shown. If input is data only, then the item order of the input variable has to be conform with the item order of version $\mathbf{A}$ of the paper based Slider Measure. The examples here should only give an impression of the general form of the input variable Data.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The payoff to the other has to be inserted for the item with an undefinded slope, that is the item with endpoints $[(85,85)(85,15)]$ (which is item 1 in version $\mathbf{A}$ and item 6 in version $\mathbf{B}$ of the paper based Slider Measure).
    ${ }^{4}$ In these examples, data of both versions A and B of the paper based Slider Measure are shown. If input is data only, then the item order of the input variable has to be conform with the item order of version A of the paper based Slider Measure. The examples here should only give an impression of the general form of the input variable Data.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ We conducted a monte carlo analysis with random choice patterns (options in the items were drawn from a uniform distribution) 30 trials with $10^{\prime} 000$ cases revealed that differences in rank orders occurred only $5.26 \%$ of the time.

